

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignnia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

					_
APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/832,078	04/10/2001		Ajit Chowdhury	780202.90075	8745
7	590	08/25/2003			
Bennett J. Be			EXAMINER		
Quarles & Brace 1 South Pinckn P O Box 2113			KUHAR, ANTHONY J		
Madison, WI 53701-2113				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1754	
				DATE MAILED: 08/25/2003	11

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	A	pplicant(s)	9					
Office Action Commons	09/832,078	С	HOWDHURY ET	AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examin r	A	rt Unit						
	Anthony J Kuhar		754	droop					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cov rs	in et with the corr	espona nce aa	uress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however within the statutory minim will apply and will expire Si cause the application to b	er, may a reply be timely num of thirty (30) days wil X (6) MONTHS from the lecome ABANDONED (3	filed I be considered timely mailing date of this constitution of the constitution of	<i>r.</i> ∍mmunication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 8/4/	03 in paper no. 10								
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Th	is action is non-fina	al.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowationsed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims				e ments is					
4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15-25 is/are pending in the	application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from considerat	ion.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 15-25</u> is/are rejected.									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Application Papers									
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on				or					
			u by the Examin	51.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.									
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	arriiror.								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	USC & 119(a)-(d) or (f)						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:	r priority under ee	o.o.o. g . / o(a) (2 / 0 . (.).						
·— ·	s have been receiv	ved.							
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).									
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲	nterview Summary (P Notice of Informal Pate Other:							
									

Application/Control Number: 09/832,078

Art Unit: 1754

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

Claim 20 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. The subject matter of "magnesium oxide" is already claimed in claim 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any

Application/Control Number: 09/832,078

Art Unit: 1754

evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1-13 and 15-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stanforth '133 in view of Pisani '773.

Column 2 of Stanforth '133 teaches that the availability of lead may be reduced by phosphate as TSP and a chloride under acidic conditions. Column 3, lines 10-35 teach that the combination of phosphate and chloride under acid conditions, a pH of less than 5, result in a much reduced solubility for lead. The lead is often taken up in the form of the chloropyromorphite complex. However, column 3, lines 47-55 still teach that unacceptable amounts of lead can still be available. Column 4, lines 61-67 then teach that introduction of a ferrous ion into the soil will further reduce the availability of lead. Table 2 teaches the treatment of soil with the ferrous ion in addition to the chloride and phosphate ions under acidic conditions. Column 5, lines 2-22 teach that the additive(s) may be added in dry form to the soil and water be subsequently added. Column 5, lines 29-31 then teach treatment of the soil with an acidic neutralizing compound which are alkalis in the form of calcium carbonate, calcium hydroxide, calcium oxide, magnesium hydroxide, or magnesium oxide. Column 5 and table 2 teach that this neutralization by alkali compounds further reduces the availability of the lead. Column 6 teaches treatment of roadside soils. Thus, the recited temperatures in the claims for treatment with the

Art Unit: 1754

various additives are encompassed by outdoor temperatures, which can generally range up to 50 C.

Column 5, lines 39-40 teaches adding the phosphate additive in the amount of 1 to 10% by weight of the soil. Table 1 teaches adding 0.3% chloride additive relative to the soil. Column 5, lines 10-15 teach adding 0.25-5% Fe compound relative to the soil. Column 5, lines 62 – column 6, line 1 teach adding the alkali compound in the amount of 0.5% to 10% by weight The subject matter as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have selected the overlapping portion of the range disclosed by the reference because overlapping ranges have been held to be a prima facie case of obviousness, in re Malagari, 182 USPQ 549.

Stanforth '133 does not specifically teach "incubating" the soil after adding the various additives. However, Pisani, in a similar process where TSP and portland cement are added to outdoor soil to reduce the availability of heavy metals, the soil and various additives are "cured" or incubated for seven days (see column 6, line 29). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to cure or incubate the treated soil of Stanforth '133 because Pisani '773 shows that time is an important factor when treating heavy metal contaminated soil with similar additives. Thus, the step of "incubating" would be obvious.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-13 and 15-25 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 09/832,078

Art Unit: 1754

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anthony J Kuhar whose telephone number is 703-305-7095. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:45 am - 5:15 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stan Silverman can be reached on 703-308-3837. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ΑK

STEVEN BOS RIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1100